Wetland

Studies and Solutions, Inc.

a DAVEY € company

Version 2.3

Stream ID: S-D22	Crossing Start Date: 03/03/2025	Crossing Completion Date: 03/03/2025	
Milepost: 261.1	Pre-Con Assessment Date: 02/05/2025	Post-Con Assessment Date: 03/03/2025	
<b>Station:</b> 13794+66	Stream Classification: Intermittent (Perennial, Intermittent, Ephemeral)	Bankfull Width (ft.): 8	
County: Franklin	303(d) Impairment Listing: Not Impaired	Riffle:Pool Complexes Present? No	

Item #	Resource Crossing Conditions	N/A	YES	NO
1.	Were all applicable resource specific crossing conditions satisfied?  Time of Year Restrictions (TOYR)? N/A Fish Relocation? N/A Mussel Relocation? N/A	Х		
2.	Is this resource designated a wild or stockable trout stream?	Х		
3.	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? (Select one or more)  Dam & Pump, Flume, Cofferdam, Conventional Bore, Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore?		am & Pun	np
4.	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?	Х		
5.	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area?	Х		
6.	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?			
7.	Was the pre-construction survey data provided and utilized during restoration in attempt to re-establish pre-construction contours?	Х		
8.	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?	Х		
9.	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?	Х		
10.	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?		Х	
11.	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?		Х	
12.	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?	Х		
13.	Are bareroot saplings required and/or scheduled to be planted for the dormant season $(10/1 - 4/30)$ ?	Х		
14.	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.			Х

Item #	Biological Conditions	Pre-Con	Post-Con
15.	Predominant Substrate Type (select one):  Bedrock, Boulder (>10"), Cobble (2-10"), Gravel (0.1-2"), Sand (<0.1"), Mud/Silt/Clay	Cobble (2-10")	Cobble (2-10")
16.	Channel Conditions: Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Suboptimal (60-80% stable banks), 3-Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks)		2 - Suboptimal
17.	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank:  Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Suboptimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3-  Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)		2 - Suboptimal
18.	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: Varied substrate sizes, varied combination of water velocities/depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable substrate with low amount of mobile particles, low embeddedness, shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, submerged aquatic vegetation. Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions present in >50% of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat conditions in 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat conditions in 10-30% of resource), 4-Poor (Habitat conditions in 0-10% of resource)	2 - Suboptimal	2 - Suboptimal
19.	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straightened channel, non-MVP stream crossings, non-native riprap/rock along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, manmade embankments, constrictions w/in channel, livestock or agricultural impacts. Rating: 1-Negligible (unaltered/natural stream), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource disrupted by channel alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of resource disrupted), 4-Severe (>80% of resource disrupted)	1 - Negligible	1 - Negligible

Wetland

Studies and Solutions, Inc.

a DAVEY company

Version 2.3

#### **Comments/Remarks**

2/5/2025: Preconstruction meeting for bank repair on left bank. Bridge to be installed above OHWM to access S-D23, then bank work will be completed as crews pull out of the area. No work is anticipated within the OHWM but equipment will be on site in the event a pump around is needed. PPL foreman is ShaneS. Fisher
3/3/2025: Fish removal was conducted prior to starting the bank repair on the left bank. An upstream and downstream dam & pump around was installed. Topsoil was removed from the left bank and stockpiled. The bank was regraded to a more stable angle of repose to repair the eroding slope. Topsoil was then returned to the bank and temporary and permanently seeded. The left bank and part of the right bank were stabilized with curlex. The dam & pump was deconstructed and flow was returned to the stream. Post-construction photos were taken and the post-construction assessment was completedA. Thorpe

related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

This report was written by Alex Thorpe
Print Name

Alex Thorpe
Signature

03/03/2025
Date

In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Consent Decree, Case No. CL18006874-00, (Issued October 11, 2019) this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity

Version 2.3



#### **Required Photos**



Version 2.3



#### **Optional Additional Photos**

