Wetland

Studies and Solutions, Inc.

a DAVEY € company

Version 2.2

Wetland ID: W-Z11	Crossing Start Date: 02/03/2024	Crossing Completion Date: 02/06/2024 Post-Con Assessment Date: 02/06/2024	
Milepost: 203.1	Pre-Con Assessment Date: 02/01/2024		
Station: 10733+00	Cowardin Classification: PEM (PEM, PFO, PSS, POW)	Wetland Impact Area (sq ft.): 1141.27	
County: Giles			

Item #	Resource Crossing Conditions	N/A	YES	NO
1.	Were equipment mats or other suitable methods utilized under heavy equipment to minimize soil compaction and disturbance in wetlands?		Х	
2.	Was the existing vegetation removed prior to initiating land disturbance within the resource?	Х		
3.	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of wetland soil segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?		Х	
4.	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area?		Х	
5.	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native wetland topsoil?		Х	
6.	Were standard decompaction practices (disking, plowing, cultivating, tilling, or incorporation of organic matter into the topsoil horizon) implemented prior to applying seed?		Х	
7.	Was wetland topsoil replaced and temporarily seeded?		Х	
8.	Was permanent seed applied to unsaturated wetlands?	Х		
9.	Was equipment/timber matting removed from the wetland area properly by vertically lifting, and not pulling through the impact area.		Х	
10.	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of the resource to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?		Х	
11.	Was the pre-construction survey data provided and utilized during restoration in attempt to maintain the original surface hydrology, and were contours re-established to pre-construction conditions to maintain overland flow patterns?		х	
12.	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?		Х	
13.	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?		Х	
14.	Does the post-construction square footage of wetland area appear to be restored to meet or exceed the pre-construction area square footage?		Х	
15.	Are bareroot saplings required and/or scheduled to be planted for the dormant season $(10/1 - 4/30)$ in PFO classified wetlands?			Χ
16.	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.			Χ

Item #	Biological Conditions		Post-Con
17.	Wetland Saturation: Are surface waters, the water table, and/or overall soil saturation present? (Select Yes or No)	Yes	Yes
18.	Resource Alterations: Are the wetland soil conditions visibly disturbed? Examples: Livestock presence, haul roads, farm traffic, drain tiles, recent mowing/clear cutting, recent excavating/disking of soils, etc. Rating: 1-Negligible (undisturbed/natural resource), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource disturbed by alterations), 3-Moderate (40-80% of resource disturbed), 4-Poor (>80% of resource disturbed)	1 - Negligible	1 - Negligible
19.	Is vegetation present within the permitted impact area prior to disturbance? (Pre-Con) Are areas properly seeded and stabilized after restoration? (Post-Con) Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetative coverage), 3-Marginal (<30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetative coverage, etc.)		1 - Optimal

Wetland

Studies and Solutions, Inc.

a DAVEY® company

Version 2.2

Comments/Remarks

2/1/2024: Precon meeting held, bridge moved. MVP EI Curt Kamman -C. Stanley
2/2/2024: Made and coated welds in upland areas., finished bridge installation for resource crossing to beginC. Stanley
2/3/2024: Segregated wetland topsoil and covered with Visqueen. Crews then began trenching in the wetland area, and segregated the wetland subsoil onto covered topsoil with barrier. The wetland buffer zone topsoil was also excavated and stockpiled separately and stabilized. Moved pipe into position within the trench and began weldingC. Stanley
2/5/2024: Finished welding, X-raying testing completed, and crews installed trench breakers. Backfill began with subsoilC. Stanley
2/6/2024: Finished backfilling subsoil, then restored topsoil and finished contouring to preconstruction conditions. Crews installed new ECDs, then seeded and stabilized the disturbed areas. Post-construction auditor assessment completedC. Stanley
Item #2: Existing vegetation consisted of pasture grasses and did not require removal for proper topsoil management and restoration.
In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Consent Decree, dated October 11, 2019, this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and

wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

This report was written by

Cody Stanley

Print Name

02/06/2024

Date

Signature





Required Photos





Photo Description: View of permitted resource impact area during post-construction assessment.



Photo Description: At edge of LOD, view of unpermitted resource area conditions during post-construction assessment.

Version 2.2



Optional Additional Photos



Photo Description: Dewatering structure installed for resource crossing.



Photo Description: Topsoil removal and stockpiled separately from other soils.



Photo Description: Trenchbreakers installed on both sides of resource.



Photo Description: Survey team on site to assist with final contouring of resource.