Version 2.3



Stream ID: S-EF62 Milepost: 222.2 Station: 11743+97		Crossing Start Date: 08/08/2023	Crossing Completion Date: 08/16/2023 Post-Con Assessment Date: 08/16/2023 Bankfull Width (ft.): 11			
		Pre-Con Assessment Date: 08/08/2023				
		Stream Classification: Perennial (Perennial, Intermittent, Ephemeral)				
Cou	nty: Montgomery	303(d) Impairment Listing: Not Impaired	Riffle:Pool Complexes Present? No			
ltem #		Resource Crossing Conditions		N/A	YES	NO
1.	Were all applicable resource spe Time of Year Restrictions (TOYR	ecific crossing conditions satisfied? )? <u>Yes</u> Fish Relocation? <u>N/A</u> Mussel Reloc	cation? <u>N/A</u>	-	х	
2.	Is this resource designated a wild or stockable trout stream?					Х
3.	Which crossing methods were utilized during the stream crossing? (Select one or more) Dam & Pump, Flume, Cofferdam, Conventional Bore, Horizontal Directional Drill (HDD) Bore?			Dam & Pump		
4.	Was the top 1-foot (12-inches) of streambed substrate segregated and stockpiled separate from trench spoils?				Х	
5.	Was excess material not needed for backfill removed and disposed of in an upland area?					
6.	Was the top 12-inches of backfill made with clean native stream substrate?				х	
7.	pre-construction contours?	y data provided and utilized during restoration in a			х	
8.	Were any field modifications to the stream implemented by project or regulatory personnel to address potential drainage or bank restoration limitations?					Х
9.	Were impervious trench breakers/plugs properly installed within 25-feet of top-of-bank to prevent subsurface erosion to or from the resource area?				х	
10.	Was permanent seed and stabilization material (straw or matting) applied to riparian areas and stream banks prior to re-establishing flow to the impact area of the channel?				Х	
11.	Was the time of disturbance minimized by conducting resource work continuously to completion?				х	
12.	Have civil surveys been scheduled to verify as-built conditions meet pre-construction conditions in accordance with the project Mitigation Framework and federal/state permit requirements?				Х	
13.	Are bareroot saplings required and/or scheduled to be planted for the dormant season $(10/1 - 4/30)$ ?					Х
14.	Did any unauthorized discharges to unpermitted resources occur during the crossing? If so, explain the corrective actions implemented in the Comments section and include additional photos.					Х

Item #	Biological Conditions	Pre-Con	Post-Con
15.	<b>Predominant Substrate Type (select one):</b> Bedrock, Boulder (10"), Cobble (2-10"), Gravel (0.1-2"), Sand (0.1"), Mud/Silt/Clay	Cobble (2-10")	Cobble (2-10")
16.	Channel Conditions: Rating: 1-Optimal (80-100% stable banks), 2-Sub-optimal (60-80% stable banks), 3-Marginal (40-60% stable banks), 4-Poor (20-40% stable banks), 5-Severe (0-20% stable banks, highly eroded or unvegetated banks)	2 - Suboptimal	1 - Optimal
17.	Riparian Buffer Zone within ROW and ≤50 ft. from Stream Top-of-Bank: Rating: 1-Optimal (60-100% heavy vegetative cover), 2-Sub-optimal (30-60% mixed vegetated coverage), 3- Marginal (30% vegetative coverage), 4-Poor (Mowed/maintained area or farmland, impervious area, sparsely vegetated coverage, etc.)	2 - Suboptimal	3 - Marginal
18.	Instream Habitat Conditions: Examples: Varied substrate sizes, varied combination of water velocities/depths, presence of woody/leafy debris, stable substrate with low amount of mobile particles, low embeddedness, shade protection, undercut banks, root mats, submerged aquatic vegetation. Rating: 1-Optimal (Habitat conditions present in 50% of resource), 2-Suboptimal (Habitat conditions in 30-50% of resource), 3-Marginal (Habitat conditions in 10-30% of resource), 4-Poor (Habitat conditions in 0-10% of resource)	3 - Marginal	3 - Marginal
19.	Channel Alterations: Examples: Straightened channel, non-MVP stream crossings, non-native riprap/rock along banks, concrete/gabions/concrete block, manmade embankments, constrictions w/in channel, livestock or agricultural impacts. Rating: 1-Negligible (unaltered/natural stream), 2-Minor (20-40% of resource disrupted by channel alterations), 3- Moderate (40-80% of resource disrupted), 4-Severe (80% of resource disrupted)	1 - Negligible	1 - Negligible

Version 2.3

# Studies and Solutions, Inc.

## **Comments/Remarks**

7/31/2023- Pre-construction assessment, no flow at time of assessment. -S. Schoeniger

8/1/2023- Preconstruction meeting, shared dewatering between EF62 and EF65 offsite with LO permission, all work must be finished on EF62 before EF65 starts. MVP EI on-site is Curt Kamman. -S. Schoeniger

08/08/2023- Excavation of trench was completed. Topsoil was stored inside 50ft buffer with a 6in straw barrier to separate from existing topsoil. Excess fill dirt was stored in proper upland area. Top 12 inches of stream substrate were stored in Super Sack to prevent mixing. -A. Burgess

08/09/2023- Pipe was installed in trench and first weld was completed. Started padding and backfill. -A. Burgess

08/10/2023- Rainout; crews remained onsite for continuous monitoring. -A. Burgess

08/11/2023- Installed trench breakers and backfill. -A. Burgess

08/12/2023- Installed second section of pipe and second weld completed. -A. Burgess

08/14/2023-Trench breakers installed and backfill inside 50ft buffer complete. -A. Burgess

08/15/2023- Shot grade on subsoil, staked out contours, and began restoration. -A. Burgess

08/16/2023- Finished restoration of stream. Land owner was present and confirmed he had driven through stream historically and would continue to do so in the future. Vegetation was present in stream during PreCon assessment but was removed prior to construction, and therefore, was not present during PostCon assessment. -A. Burgess

Item 2: The resource is not designated as a wild or stockable trout stream but is located upstream from a resource that is.

In accordance with the Mountain Valley Pipeline Consent Decree, Case No. CL18006874-00, (Issued October 11, 2019) this independent report was completed to document the on-site monitoring of instream invertebrate and fisheries resources during all construction activity related to waterbody and wetland crossings, and document instream conditions and any impacts to the resources.

This report was written by	Allen Burgess Print Name	AR B	08/21/2023	
		Signature	Date	

Version 2.3



## **Required Photos**



area during post-construction assessment.

**Photo Description:** Downstream view of unpermitted area during post-construction assessment.

Version 2.3



# **Optional Additional Photos**

