

Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-H54 (Timber Mat Crossing)

Perennial

Spread I

Pittsylvania County, Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope >4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	N/A – No riffles present to take sample
Wolman Pebble Count	✓
RiverMorph Data Sheet	✓
USM Form (Virginia Only)	✓
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

Spread I Stream S-H54 (Timber Mat) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: RB DS VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream View on RB of impact area inside LOD looking NE, RAH

Spread I Stream S-H54 (Timber Mat) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: LB DS VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream View on LB of impact area inside LOD looking NE, RAH

Spread I Stream S-H54 (Timber Mat) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: RB US VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream View on RB of impact area inside LOD looking SW, RAH

Spread I Stream S-H54 (Timber Mat) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: LB US VIEW

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Upstream View on LB of impact area inside LOD looking SW, RAH

Spread I Stream S-H54 (Timber Mat) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: RB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on RB looking at LB along pipe centerline looking NW, RAH

Spread I Stream S-H54 (Timber Mat) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: LB CL

Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Standing on LB looking at RB along pipe centerline looking SE, RAH

Spread I Stream S-H54 (Timber Mat) Pittsylvania County



Photo Type: DS COND
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Downstream conditions looking NE, RAH

**PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET
(FRONT)**

STREAM NAME _____		LOCATION _____	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS _____	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN _____	
STORET # _____		AGENCY _____	
INVESTIGATORS _____			
FORM COMPLETED BY _____		DATE _____ TIME _____	REASON FOR SURVEY _____

WEATHER CONDITIONS	Now storm (heavy rain) _____ rain (steady rain) _____ showers (intermittent) _____ %cloud cover _____ clear/sunny _____	Past 24 hours _____%	Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? Yes _____ No _____ Air Temperature _____ °C Other _____
	SITE LOCATION/MAP Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)		
<p>The map shows a vertical stream channel. A red arrow points downwards along the channel. To the left of the channel, there are two black arrows pointing towards the channel. To the right, there are two black arrows pointing away from the channel. The letters 'ROW' are written in the middle of the channel. The channel is bounded by irregular lines representing the stream banks.</p>			STREAM CHARACTERIZATION Stream Subsystem Perennial _____ Intermittent _____ Tidal _____ Stream Origin Glacial _____ Non-glacial montane _____ Swamp and bog _____ Spring-fed _____ Mixture of origins _____ Other _____
			Stream Type Coldwater _____ Warmwater _____ Catchment Area _____ km ²

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES	Predominant Surrounding Landuse Forest Field/Pasture Agricultural Residential Commercial Industrial Other _____	Local Watershed NPS Pollution No evidence <input type="checkbox"/> Some potential sources Obvious sources Local Watershed Erosion None Moderate Heavy
RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Trees Shrubs Grasses Herbaceous Dominant species present _____	
INSTREAM FEATURES	Estimated Reach Length _____ m Estimated Stream Width _____ m Sampling Reach Area _____ m ² Area in km ² (m ² x1000) _____ km ² Estimated Stream Depth _____ m Surface Velocity _____ m/sec (at thalweg)	Canopy Cover Partly open Partly shaded Shaded High Water Mark _____ m Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types Riffle _____ % Run _____ % Pool _____ % Channelized Yes No Dam Present Yes No
LARGE WOODY DEBRIS	LWD _____ m ² Density of LWD _____ m ² /km ² (LWD/ reach area)	
AQUATIC VEGETATION	Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present Rooted emergent Rooted submergent Rooted floating Free floating Floating Algae Attached Algae Dominant species present _____ Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation _____ %	
WATER QUALITY	Temperature _____ °C Specific Conductance _____ Dissolved Oxygen _____ pH _____ Turbidity _____ WQ Instrument Used _____	Water Odors Normal/None Sewage Petroleum Chemical Fishy Other _____ Water Surface Oils Slick Sheen Globs Flecks None Other _____ Turbidity (if not measured) Clear <input type="checkbox"/> Slightly turbid Turbid Opaque Stained Other _____
SEDIMENT/SUBSTRATE	Odors Normal Sewage Petroleum Chemical Anaerobic None Other _____ Oils Absent Slight Moderate Profuse Deposits Sludge Sawdust Paper fiber Sand Relict shells Other _____ Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color? Yes No	

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	Diameter	% Composition in Sampling Reach	Substrate Type	Characteristic	% Composition in Sampling Area
Bedrock			Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")				
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")				
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments	
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm				
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)				

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME		LOCATION	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____		STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____ LONG _____		RIVER BASIN	
STORET #		AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS			
FORM COMPLETED BY		DATE _____ TIME _____ AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
		Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are <u>not</u> new fall and <u>not</u> transient).			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category																				
	Optimal					Suboptimal					Marginal					Poor					
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.					Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.					Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.					Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.					
SCORE	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.					Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.					Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.					Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.					
SCORE	20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank)	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.					Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.					Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.					Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.					
Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.																					
SCORE ____ (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE ____ (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.					70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.					Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.					
SCORE ____ (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE ____ (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.					Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.					Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.					Width of riparian zone <6 meters; little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.					
SCORE ____ (LB)	Left Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			
SCORE ____ (RB)	Right Bank	10	9			8	7	6			5	4	3			2	1	0			

Total Score _____

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME	LOCATION	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS	
LAT _____ LONG _____	RIVER BASIN	
STORET #	AGENCY	
INVESTIGATORS	LOT NUMBER	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE _____ TIME _____	REASON FOR SURVEY

HABITAT TYPES	Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present Cobble _____% Snags _____% Vegetated Banks _____% Sand _____% Submerged Macrophytes _____% Other (_____) _____%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	Gear used D-frame kick-net Other _____ How were the samples collected? wading from bank from boat Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type. Cobble _____ Snags _____ Vegetated Banks _____ Sand _____ Submerged Macrophytes _____ Other (_____) _____
GENERAL COMMENTS	

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS

Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

RIVERMORPH PARTICLE SUMMARY

 River Name: UNT to Little Cherrystone Creek
 Reach Name: S-H54
 Sample Name: Representative
 Survey Date: 08/19/2021

Size (mm)	TOT #	ITEM %	CUM %
0 - 0.062	11	11.00	11.00
0.062 - 0.125	8	8.00	19.00
0.125 - 0.25	4	4.00	23.00
0.25 - 0.50	5	5.00	28.00
0.50 - 1.0	12	12.00	40.00
1.0 - 2.0	24	24.00	64.00
2.0 - 4.0	10	10.00	74.00
4.0 - 5.7	9	9.00	83.00
5.7 - 8.0	5	5.00	88.00
8.0 - 11.3	6	6.00	94.00
11.3 - 16.0	4	4.00	98.00
16.0 - 22.6	1	1.00	99.00
22.6 - 32.0	1	1.00	100.00
32 - 45	0	0.00	100.00
45 - 64	0	0.00	100.00
64 - 90	0	0.00	100.00
90 - 128	0	0.00	100.00
128 - 180	0	0.00	100.00
180 - 256	0	0.00	100.00
256 - 362	0	0.00	100.00
362 - 512	0	0.00	100.00
512 - 1024	0	0.00	100.00
1024 - 2048	0	0.00	100.00
Bedrock	0	0.00	100.00

D16 (mm)	0.1
D35 (mm)	0.79
D50 (mm)	1.42
D84 (mm)	6.16
D95 (mm)	12.48
D100 (mm)	32
Silt/Clay (%)	11
Sand (%)	53
Gravel (%)	36
Cobble (%)	0
Boulder (%)	0
Bedrock (%)	0

Total Particles = 100.






Stream Assessment Form (Form 1)

Unified Stream Methodology for use in Virginia

For use in wadeable channels classified as intermittent or perennial

Project #	Project Name (Applicant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR #	Impact Length	Impact Factor	
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)	Pittsylvania	R3	03010105	08/19/2021	S-H54	20	1	
Name(s) of Evaluator(s)		Stream Name and Information					SAR Length		
MB, RH		Spread I; UNT to Little Chearrystone Creek					90.89		

1. Channel Condition: Assess the cross-section of the stream and prevailing condition (erosion, aggradation)

	Conditional Category					
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	Severe	
Channel Condition	 Very little incision or active erosion; 80-100% stable banks. Vegetative surface protection or natural rock, prominent (80-100%), AND/OR Stable point bars / bankfull benches are present. Access to their original floodplain or fully developed wide bankfull benches. Mid-channel bars and transverse bars few. Transient sediment deposition covers less than 10% of bottom.	 Slightly incised, few areas of active erosion or unprotected banks. Majority of banks are stable (60-80%). Vegetative protection or natural rock prominent (60-80%) AND/OR Depositional features contribute to stability. The bankfull and low flow channels are well defined. Stream likely has access to bankfull benches, or newly developed floodplains along portions of the reach. Transient sediment covers 10-40% of the stream bottom.	 Often incised, but less than Severe or Poor. Banks more stable than Severe or Poor due to lower bank slopes. Erosion may be present on 40-60% of both banks. Vegetative protection on 40-60% of banks. Streambanks may be vertical or undercut. AND/OR 40-60% Sediment may be temporary / transient, contribute to instability. Deposition that contribute to stability, may be forming/present. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection on > 40% of the banks and depositional features which contribute to stability.	 Overwidened/incised. Vertically / laterally unstable. Likely to widen further. Majority of both banks are near vertical. Erosion present on 60-80% of banks. Vegetative protection present on 20-40% of banks, and is insufficient to prevent erosion. AND/OR 60-80% of the stream is covered by sediment. Sediment is temporary / transient in nature, and contributing to instability. AND/OR V-shaped channels have vegetative protection is present on > 40% of the banks and stable sediment deposition is absent.	 Deeply incised (or excavated), vertical/lateral instability. Severe incision, flow contained within the banks. Streambed below average rooting depth, majority of banks vertical/undercut. Vegetative protection present on less than 20% of banks, is not preventing erosion. Obvious bank sloughing present. Erosion/raw banks on 80-100%. AND/OR Aggrading channel. Greater than 80% of stream bed is covered by deposition, contributing to instability. Multiple thread channels and/or subterranean flow.	CI
Scores	3	2.4	2	1.6	1	3.00
NOTES>>						

2. RIPARIAN BUFFERS: Assess both bank's 100 foot riparian areas along the entire SAR. (rough measurements of length & width may be acceptable)

	Conditional Category							
	Optimal	High Suboptimal	Low Suboptimal	High Marginal	Low Marginal	High Poor		Low Poor
Riparian Buffers	Tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with > 60% tree canopy cover. Wetlands located within the riparian areas.	High Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and containing both herbaceous and shrub layers or a non-maintained understory.	Low Suboptimal: Riparian areas with tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with 30% to 60% tree canopy cover and a maintained understory. Recent cutover (dense vegetation).	High Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation with either a shrub layer or a tree layer (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover.	Low Marginal: Non-maintained, dense herbaceous vegetation, riparian areas lacking shrub and tree stratum, hay production, ponds, open water. If present, tree stratum (dbh > 3 inches) present, with <30% tree canopy cover with maintained understory.	High Poor: Lawns, mowed, and maintained areas, nurseries; no-till cropland; actively grazed pasture, sparsely vegetated non-maintained area, recently seeded and stabilized, or other comparable condition.	Low Poor: Impervious surfaces, mine spoil lands, denuded surfaces, row crops, active feed lots, trails, or other comparable conditions.	NOTES>>
Scores	1.5	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	
		1.2	1.1	0.85	0.75	0.6	0.5	
1. Delineate riparian areas along each stream bank into Condition Categories and Condition Scores using the descriptors. 2. Determine square footage for each by measuring or estimating length and width. Calculators are provided for you below. 3. Enter the % Riparian Area and Score for each riparian category in the blocks below.						Ensure the sums of % Riparian Blocks equal 100		
Right Bank	% Riparian Area >	100%					100%	
	Score >	0.85						
								CI = (Sum % RA * Scores*0.01)/2
Left Bank	% Riparian Area >	100%					100%	
	Score >	0.85						
							Rt Bank CI >	0.85
							Lt Bank CI >	0.85
							CI	0.85

3. INSTREAM HABITAT: Varied substrate sizes, water velocity and depths; woody and leafy debris; stable substrate; low embeddedness; shade; undercut banks; root mats; SAV; riffle/pool complexes, stable features.

	Conditional Category				
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor	
Instream Habitat/ Available Cover	Habitat elements are typically present in greater than 50% of the reach.	Stable habitat elements are typically present in 30-50% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations.	Stable habitat elements are typically present in 10-30% of the reach and are adequate for maintenance of populations.	Habitat elements listed above are lacking or are unstable. Habitat elements are typically present in less than 10% of the reach.	NOTES>>
Scores	1.5	1.2	0.9	0.5	Stream Gradient
					High
					CI
					1.50

Stream Impact Assessment Form Page 2

Project #	Project Name (Applicant)	Locality	Cowardin Class.	HUC	Date	SAR #	Impact Length	Impact Factor
22865.06	Mountain Valley Pipeline (Mountain Valley Pipeline, LLC)	Pittsylvania	R3	03010105	08/19/2021	S-H54	20	1

4. CHANNEL ALTERATION: Stream crossings, riprap, concrete, gabions, or concrete blocks, straightening of channel, channelization, embankments, spoil piles, constrictions, livestock

Channel Alteration	Conditional Category					NOTES>>	
	Negligible	Minor		Moderate			Severe
	Channelization, dredging, alteration, or hardening absent. Stream has an unaltered pattern or has naturalized.	Less than 20% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	20-40% of the stream reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines.	40 - 60% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered.	60 - 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines. If stream has been channelized, normal stable stream meander pattern has not recovered.		Greater than 80% of reach is disrupted by any of the channel alterations listed in the parameter guidelines AND/OR 80% of banks shored with gabion, riprap, or cement.
Scores	1.5	1.3	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.5	

CI
1.50

REACH CONDITION INDEX and STREAM CONDITION UNITS FOR THIS REACH

NOTE: The CIs and RCI should be rounded to 2 decimal places. The CR should be rounded to a whole number.

THE REACH CONDITION INDEX (RCI) >>	1.37
RCI= (Sum of all CI's)/5, except if stream is ephemeral RCI = (Riparian CI/2)	
COMPENSATION REQUIREMENT (CR) >>	27
CR = RCI X L _r X IF	



INSERT PHOTOS:



CAPTION. Assessment is limited to areas within the temporary ROW.




DESCRIBE PROPOSED IMPACT:

PROVIDED UNDER SEPARATE COVER



SURVEY NOTES:





- This map has been oriented to NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, and vertically to The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), using a Real Time Network (RTN) GPS. Field locations were completed on December 6, 2018.
- Monumentation, including traverse stations and fly points, shown on this drawing should be used to orient any future boundary, topographic, or location survey.
- Easement lines shown on plan view were provided by Mountain Valley Pipeline (MVP).
- WSSI Contour Interval = 2.0'. Contours within the channel were interpolated using stream channel breaklines (i.e. top of slopes, toe of slopes, thalweg) and cross-sectional points. Contours outside the channel were interpolated using cross-sectional spot shots.
- All section views shown are left to right facing downstream.
- Cross-section B shot at location of pipe centerline (based on best professional judgement).



CROSS SECTION
SCALE: H: 1"=10'
V: 1"=5'

CROSS SECTION LEGEND
— EXISTING GRADE

NOTE: ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.



PT. LOC.	PRE-CROSSING			POST-CROSSING	
	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV.	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.
TS-L	13380863.34	2118160.65	623.64	----	----
BS-L	13380861.88	2118161.89	620.39	----	----
THW	13380848.95	2118172.44	619.24	----	----
BS-R	13380847.29	2118173.79	620.56	----	----
TS-R	13380841.04	2118179.03	623.36	----	----

Wetland
a DAVEY company
Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc.

5300 Wellington Branch Drive • Suite 100
Gainesville, Virginia 20155
Phone: 703-679-5600 • Fax: 703-679-5601
www.wetlands.com

Prepared For: MVP

Profile and Cross-Sections Baseline Survey

Crossing S-H54 & S-GG11 - UNT to Cherrystone Creek (MP 301.1)
Pittsylvania County, Virginia

Copyright © 2021 Wetland Studies and Solutions, Inc.

REVISIONS		App. By	Date
No.	Description		

SCALE: AS NOTED

DATE: September, 2021

Horizontal Datum: NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N
Vertical Datum: NAVD 88
Boundary and Topo Source: MVP
WSSI 2' C.I. Topo

Design	Draft	Approved
EJC	APE	PFS

Sheet #
1 of 1

Computer File Name:
L:\Survey\2018\201802\20180206\020601\Wetland\2018_03_11_MP_201-201_Sheet.dwg