Baseline Assessment – Stream Attributes

Reach S-KL29 (Pipeline ROW)
Perennial
Spread F
Summers County, West Virginia

Data	Included
Photos	✓
SWVM Form	✓
FCI Calculator and HGM Form	N/A – Perennial stream (not shadeable, slope >4%)
RBP Physical Characteristics Form	✓
Water Quality Data	✓ - Data from Baseline (7-21-2021)
RBP Habitat Form	✓
RBP Benthic Form	✓
Benthic Identification Sheet	✓ - Data from Baseline (7-21-2021)
Wolman Pebble Count	N/A – High flow
Reference Reach Software Pebble Count Data	N/A – High flow
Longitudinal Profile and Cross Sections	✓

Photo Type: CP, DS
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center of Right of Way, Downstream View, AJ/MB

Photo Type: CP, US
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Center of Right of Way, Upstream View, AJ/MB

Photo Type: LDB, DS
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Left Descending Bank, Downstream View, AJ/MB

Photo Type: LDB, US
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Left Descending Bank, Upstream View, AJ/MB

Photo Type: RDB, DS
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Right Descending Bank, Downstream View, AJ/MB

Photo Type: RDB, US View
Location, Orientation, Photographer Initials: Right Descending Bank, Upstream View, AJ/MB

"Q:\Charleston\2021 Projects\21-0244- MVP- STREAM AND WETLAND CONDITIONS ASSESSMENT AND SURVEY PLAN\002 - Pre-Crossing Monitoring\Spread F\S-M3"

West Virginia Stream and Wetland Valuation Metric (SWVM)
Version 2.1, September 2017

USACE FILE NO./ Project Name: (v2.1, Sept 2015)	**MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE**	**IMPACT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)**	**Lat.**	**37.692932**	**Lon.**	**-80.733839**	**WEATHER:**	**Sunny**	**DATE:**	9/22/2021
IMPACT STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)	**Right Fork Hungard Creek (S-KL29)**	**MITIGATION STREAM CLASS./SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION:** (watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)							**Comments:**	Water quality indicators and WVSCI score based on existing baseline data from 7/21/2021
STREAM IMPACT LENGTH:	**75**	**FORM OF MITIGATION:**	**RESTORATION (Levels I-III)**	**MIT COORDINATES: (in Decimal Degrees)**	**Lat.**		**Lon.**		**PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:**		**Mitigation Length:**	

Column No. 1- Impact Existing Condition (Debit)			
Stream Classification:	**Perennial**		
Percent Stream Channel Slope	**1.59**		
HGM Score (attach data forms):			
			Average
Hydrology			
Biogeochemical Cycling			**0**
Habitat			
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Indicators			
	Points Scale	**Range**	**Site Score**
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)			
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0-1	
2. Embeddedness	0-20		
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		
6. Channel Alteration	0-20		**18**
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		**12**
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		**9**
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		**10**
Total RBP Score	Poor		**49**
Sub-Total			**0.245**
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			
Specific Conductivity			
100-199 - 85 points	0-90	0-1	**198.9**
pH			
8.1-9.0 = 45 points	0-80		**8.12**
DO			
>5.0 = 30 points	10-30		**9.2**
Sub-Total			**0.8**
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			
Good	0-100	0-1	**74**
Sub-Total			**0.74**

Column No. 2- Mitigation Existing Condition - Baseline (Credit)			
Stream Classification:			
Percent Stream Channel Slope			
HGM Score (attach data forms):			
			Average
Hydrology			
Biogeochemical Cycling			**0**
Habitat			
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Indicators			
	Points Scale	**Range**	**Site Score**
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)			
USEPA RBP (Low Gradient Data Sheet)			
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0-1	
2. Pool Substrate Characterization	0-20		
3. Pool Variability	0-20		
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		
6. Channel Alteration	0-20		
7. Channel Sinuosity	0-20		
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		
Total RBP Score	Poor		**0**
Sub-Total			**0**
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			
Specific Conductivity			
	0-90	0-1	
pH			
	5-90		
DO			
	10-30		
Sub-Total			**0**
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			
	0-100	0-1	
Sub-Total			**0**

Column No. 3- Mitigation Projected at Five Years Post Completion (Credit)			
Stream Classification:	**0**		
Percent Stream Channel Slope	**0**		
HGM Score (attach data forms):			
			Average
Hydrology			
Biogeochemical Cycling			**0**
Habitat			
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Indicators			
	Points Scale	**Range**	**Site Score**
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)			
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0-1	
2. Embeddedness	0-20		
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		
6. Channel Alteration	0-20		
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		
Total RBP Score	Poor		**0**
Sub-Total			**0**
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			
Specific Conductivity			
	0-90	0-1	
pH			
	5-90		
DO			
	10-30		
Sub-Total			**0**
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			
	0-100	0-1	
Sub-Total			**0**

Column No. 4- Mitigation Projected at Ten Years Post Completion (Credit)			
Stream Classification:	**0**		
Percent Stream Channel Slope	**0**		
HGM Score (attach data forms):			
			Average
Hydrology			
Biogeochemical Cycling			**0**
Habitat			
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Indicators			
	Points Scale	**Range**	**Site Score**
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)			
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0-1	
2. Embeddedness	0-20		
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		
6. Channel Alteration	0-20		
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		
Total RBP Score	Poor		**0**
Sub-Total			**0**
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			
Specific Conductivity			
	0-90	0-1	
pH			
	5-90		
DO			
	10-30		
Sub-Total			**0**
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			
	0-100	0-1	
Sub-Total			**0**

Column No. 5- Mitigation Projected at Maturity (Credit)			
Stream Classification:	**0**		
Percent Stream Channel Slope	**0**		
HGM Score (attach data forms):			
			Average
Hydrology			
Biogeochemical Cycling			**0**
Habitat			
PART I - Physical, Chemical and Biological Indicators			
	Points Scale	**Range**	**Site Score**
PHYSICAL INDICATOR (Applies to all streams classifications)			
USEPA RBP (High Gradient Data Sheet)			
1. Epifaunal Substrate/Available Cover	0-20	0-1	
2. Embeddedness	0-20		
3. Velocity/ Depth Regime	0-20		
4. Sediment Deposition	0-20		
5. Channel Flow Status	0-20		
6. Channel Alteration	0-20		
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	0-20		
8. Bank Stability (LB & RB)	0-20		
9. Vegetative Protection (LB & RB)	0-20		
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (LB & RB)	0-20		
Total RBP Score	Poor		**0**
Sub-Total			**0**
CHEMICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WVDEP Water Quality Indicators (General)			
Specific Conductivity			
	0-90	0-1	
pH			
	5-90		
DO			
	10-30		
Sub-Total			**0**
BIOLOGICAL INDICATOR (Applies to Intermittent and Perennial Streams)			
WV Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)			
	0-100	0-1	
Sub-Total			**0**

PART II - Index and Unit Score		
Index	**Linear Feet**	**Unit Score**
0.595	**75**	**44.625**

PART II - Index and Unit Score		
Index	**Linear Feet**	**Unit Score**
0	**0**	**0**

PART II - Index and Unit Score		
Index	**Linear Feet**	**Unit Score**
0	**0**	**0**

PART II - Index and Unit Score		
Index	**Linear Feet**	**Unit Score**
0	**0**	**0**

PART II - Index and Unit Score		
Index	**Linear Feet**	**Unit Score**
0	**0**	**0**

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Right Fork Hungard Creek	LOCATION S-KL29	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS Perennial	
LAT 37.692932 LONG -80.733839	COUNTY Summers	
STORET #	AGENCY Edge/Potesta	
INVESTIGATORS AJ/MB		
FORM COMPLETED BY AJ	DATE 09-02-2021 TIME 12:41 PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Preliminary Assessment

WEATHER CONDITIONS

Now

- ☐ storm (heavy rain)
- ☐ rain (steady rain)
- ☐ showers (intermittent)
- ____% ☐ %cloud cover
- ☑ clear/sunny

Past 24 hours

- ☐ storm (heavy rain)
- ☑ rain (steady rain)
- ☐ showers (intermittent)
- ____% ☐ %cloud cover
- ☐ clear/sunny

Has there been a heavy rain in the last 7 days? ☑ Yes ☐ No

Air Temperature 23 °C

Other _____

SITE LOCATION/MAP

Draw a map of the site and indicate the areas sampled (or attach a photograph)

Stream above base flow from heavy rains. Stream features and sediment composition could not be assessed.

STREAM CHARACTERIZATION

Stream Subsystem
☑ Perennial ☐ Intermittent ☐ Tidal

Stream Type
☐ Coldwater ☑ Warmwater

Stream Origin
- ☐ Glacial
- ☑ Non-glacial montane
- ☐ Swamp and bog
- ☐ Spring-fed
- ☐ Mixture of origins
- ☐ Other _____

Catchment Area _____ km^2

PHYSICAL CHARACTERIZATION/WATER QUALITY FIELD DATA SHEET (BACK)

WATERSHED FEATURES

Predominant Surrounding Landuse
- ☑ Forest
- ☑ Field/Pasture
- ☑ Agricultural
- ☐ Residential
- ☐ Commercial
- ☐ Industrial
- ☐ Other _____

Local Watershed NPS Pollution
- ☐ No evidence
- ☐ Some potential sources
- ☑ Obvious sources

Local Watershed Erosion
- ☐ None
- ☑ Moderate
- ☐ Heavy

RIPARIAN VEGETATION (18 meter buffer)

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
- ☐ Trees
- ☐ Shrubs
- ☐ Grasses
- ☑ Herbaceous

Dominant species present solidago

INSTREAM FEATURES

Estimated Reach Length _____m

Estimated Stream Width _____m

Sampling Reach Area _____m^2

Area in km^2 (m^2x1000) _____km^2

Estimated Stream Depth _____m

Surface Velocity (at thalweg) _____m/sec

Stream Dry ☐

Canopy Cover
- ☐ Partly open
- ☐ Partly shaded
- ☐ Shaded

High Water Mark _____m

Proportion of Reach Represented by Stream Morphology Types
Riffle_____% Run_____%
Pool_____%

Channelized ☐ Yes ☐ No

Dam Present ☐ Yes ☐ No

LARGE WOODY DEBRIS

LWD _____m^2

Density of LWD _____m^2/km^2 **(LWD/ reach area)**

Stream not assessed: above base flow.

AQUATIC VEGETATION

Indicate the dominant type and record the dominant species present
- ☐ Rooted emergent
- ☐ Rooted submergent
- ☐ Rooted floating
- ☐ Free floating
- ☐ Floating Algae
- ☐ Attached Algae

Dominant species present _____

Portion of the reach with aquatic vegetation _____%

WATER QUALITY

Temperature_____° C

Specific Conductance_____

Dissolved Oxygen _____

pH _____

Turbidity _____

WQ Instrument Used Turbid stream

Water Odors
- ☐ Normal/None
- ☐ Sewage
- ☐ Petroleum
- ☐ Chemical
- ☐ Fishy
- ☐ Other_____

Water Surface Oils
- ☐ Slick
- ☐ Sheen
- ☐ Globs
- Flecks
- ☐ None
- ☐ Other_____

Turbidity (if not measured)
- ☐ Clear
- ☐ Slightly turbid
- ☐ Turbid
- ☐ Opaque
- ☐ Stained
- ☐ Other_____

SEDIMENT/ SUBSTRATE

Odors
- ☐ Normal
- ☐ Sewage
- ☐ Petroleum
- ☐ Chemical
- ☐ Anaerobic
- ☐ None
- ☐ Other No visibility

Oils
☐ Absent ☐ Slight ☐ Moderate ☐ Profuse

Deposits
- ☐ Sludge
- ☐ Sawdust
- ☐ Paper fiber
- ☐ Sand
- ☐ Relict shells
- ☐ Other_____

Looking at stones which are not deeply embedded, are the undersides black in color?
☐ Yes ☐ No

INORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (should add up to 100%)			ORGANIC SUBSTRATE COMPONENTS (does not necessarily add up to 100%)		
Substrate Type	**Diameter**	**% Composition in Sampling Reach**	**Substrate Type**	**Characteristic**	**% Composition in Sampling Area**
Bedrock		No visibility	Detritus	sticks, wood, coarse plant materials (CPOM)	
Boulder	> 256 mm (10")				
Cobble	64-256 mm (2.5"-10")		Muck-Mud	black, very fine organic (FPOM)	
Gravel	2-64 mm (0.1"-2.5")				
Sand	0.06-2mm (gritty)		Marl	grey, shell fragments	
Silt	0.004-0.06 mm				
Clay	< 0.004 mm (slick)				

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET - HG - USE ON ALL STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME Right Fork Hungard Creek	LOCATION S-KL29	
STATION # _____ RIVERMILE _____	STREAM CLASS Perennial	
LAT 37.692932 LONG -80.733839	COUNTY Summers	
STORET #	AGENCY Edge/Potesta	
INVESTIGATORS AJ/MB		
FORM COMPLETED BY AJ	DATE 09-02-2021 TIME 12:41 PM AM PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Preliminary Assessment

Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover ☐ N/A	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
2. Embeddedness	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0-25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25-50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50-75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
3. Velocity/Depth Regime ☐ N/A	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
4. Sediment Deposition	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
5. Channel Flow Status ☐ N/A	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

In stream characteristics not assessed - no visibility.

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach

Habitat Parameter	Condition Category			
	Optimal	**Suboptimal**	**Marginal**	**Poor**
6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
SCORE 18	20 19 **18** 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends) ☐ N/A	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
SCORE 0	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
SCORE 6	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 **6**	5 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 6	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 **6**	5 4 3	2 1 0
9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
SCORE 4	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 **4** 3	2 1 0
SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	**5** 4 3	2 1 0
10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6-12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
SCORE 5	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	**5** 4 3	2 1 0
SCORE 5	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	**5** 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 49

BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE FIELD DATA SHEET

STREAM NAME Right Fork Hungard Creek	LOCATION S-KL29	
STATION #_____ RIVERMILE_____	STREAM CLASS Perennial	
LAT 37.692932 LONG -80.733839	COUNTY Summers	
STORET #	AGENCY Edge/Potesta	
INVESTIGATORS		LOT NUMBER
FORM COMPLETED BY AJ	DATE 09-02-2021 TIME 12:41 PM	REASON FOR SURVEY Preliminary Assessment

HABITAT TYPES	**Indicate the percentage of each habitat type present** ☐ Cobble_____% ☐ Snags _____% ☐ Vegetated Banks_____% ☐ Sand_____% ☐ Submerged Macrophytes_____% ☐ Other ( )_____%
SAMPLE COLLECTION	**Gear used** ☐ D-frame ☐ kick-net ☐ Other _____ **How were the samples collected?** ☐ wading ☐ from bank ☐ from boat **Indicate the number of jabs/kicks taken in each habitat type.** ☐ Cobble_____ ☐ Snags_____ ☐ Vegetated Banks_____ ☐ Sand_____ ☐ Submerged Macrophytes_____ ☐ Other ( )_____
GENERAL COMMENTS	Stream not assessed significant rain event from the past 48 hours.

QUALITATIVE LISTING OF AQUATIC BIOTA
Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare, 2 = Common, 3= Abundant, 4 = Dominant

Periphyton	0	1	2	3	4	Slimes	0	1	2	3	4
Filamentous Algae	0	1	2	3	4	Macroinvertebrates	0	1	2	3	4
Macrophytes	0	1	2	3	4	Fish	0	1	2	3	4

FIELD OBSERVATIONS OF MACROBENTHOS
Indicate estimated abundance: 0 = Absent/Not Observed, 1 = Rare (1-3 organisms), 2 = Common (3-9 organisms), 3= Abundant (>10 organisms), 4 = Dominant (>50 organisms)

Porifera	0	1	2	3	4	Anisoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Chironomidae	0	1	2	3	4
Hydrozoa	0	1	2	3	4	Zygoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Ephemeroptera	0	1	2	3	4
Platyhelminthes	0	1	2	3	4	Hemiptera	0	1	2	3	4	Trichoptera	0	1	2	3	4
Turbellaria	0	1	2	3	4	Coleoptera	0	1	2	3	4	Other	0	1	2	3	4
Hirudinea	0	1	2	3	4	Lepidoptera	0	1	2	3	4						
Oligochaeta	0	1	2	3	4	Sialidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Isopoda	0	1	2	3	4	Corydalidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Amphipoda	0	1	2	3	4	Tipulidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Decapoda	0	1	2	3	4	Empididae	0	1	2	3	4						
Gastropoda	0	1	2	3	4	Simuliidae	0	1	2	3	4						
Bivalvia	0	1	2	3	4	Tabinidae	0	1	2	3	4						
						Culcidae	0	1	2	3	4						

Insects	Count	Tolerance	TV
Ephemeroptera			50
Ameletidae		2	0
Baetidae	3	4	12
Beatiscidae		4	0
Caenidae	6	5	30
Ephemerellidae		3	0
Ephemeridae		5	0
Heptageniidae	41	3	123
Isonychiidae		3	0
Leptophlebiidae		4	0
Potamanthidae		5	0
Siphlonuridae		3	0
Tricorythidae		5	0
Plecoptera			19
Capniidae		2	0
Chloroperlidae		2	0
Leuctridae	11	2	22
Nemouridae		2	0
Peltoperlidae		1	0
Perlidae	8	1	8
Perlodidae		1	0
Pteronarcyidae		1	0
Taeniopterygidae		2	0
Trichoptera			30
Brachycentridae		2	0
Glossosomatidae	1	2	2
Helicopsychidae		3	0
Hydropsychidae	26	5	130
Hydroptilidae		3	0
Lepidostomatidae		3	0
Leptoceridae		3	0
Limnephilidae	1	4	4
Molannidae		3	0
Philopotamidae	2	4	8
Phryganeidae		4	0
Polycentropodidae		5	0
Psychomiidae		4	0
Rhyacophilidae		3	0
Uenoidae		2	0
Total Tolerance Value			1077

Insects	Count	Tolerance	TV
Odonata			0
Aeshnidae		3	0
Calopterygidae		6	0
Coenagrionidae		7	0
Cordulegastridae		3	0
Gomphidae		5	0
Lestidae		7	0
Libellulidae		7	0
Coleoptera			65
Chrysomelidae		7	0
Dryopidae		5	0
Dytiscidae		6	0
Elmidae	29	4	116
Gyrinidae		5	0
Haliplidae		7	0
Hydrophilidae		7	0
Psephenidae	36	3	108
Ptilodactylidae		5	0
Hemiptera			6
Belostomatidae		8	0
Corixidae		8	0
Gerridae	6	10	60
Hydrometridae		8	0
Nepidae		8	0
Notonectidae		8	0
Megaloptera			2
Corydalidae	2	3	6
Sialidae		6	0
Diptera			54
Athericidae		3	0
Blephariceridae		2	0
Ceratopogonidae		8	0
Chironomidae	43	9	387
Culicidae		10	0
Dixidae		6	0
Empididae	3	7	21
Psychodidae		8	0
Ptychopteridae		8	0
Simuliidae		7	0
Stratiomyidae		10	0
Syrphidae		10	0
Tabanidae		7	0
Tipulidae	8	5	40

Non-Insects	Count	Tolerance	TV
Crustacea			0
Asellidae		7	0
Cambaridae		5	0
Gammaridae		5	0
Palaemonidae		5	0
Annelida			0
Hirudinea		10	0
Nematoda		10	0
Nematomorpha		10	0
Oligochaeta		10	0
Turbellaria			0
Turbellaria		7	0
Bivalvia			0
Corbiculidae		6	0
Sphaeriidae		5	0
Unionidae		4	0
Gastropoda			0
Ancylidae		7	0
Hydrobiidae		4	0
Physidae		7	0
Planorbidae		5	0
Pleuroceridae		5	0
Viviparidae		5	0
Miscellaneous			0
Collembola		6	0
Lepidoptera		5	0
Neuroptera		5	0
Hydrachnidae		6	0
Totals	**Total number**		**226**
	Total families		**16**

SITE ID:	S-KL29
	7/21/2021

Metric calculations

WVSCI Metric Scores		
Total Taxa	16	72.7
EPT Taxa	9	69.2
% EPT Abundance	43.8	49.1
% Chironomidae	19.0	82.4
Hilsenhoff Biotic Index (HBI)	4.77	70.8
% 2 Dominant Taxa	37.2	100.0
WV Stream Condition Index		**74.0**

Additional metrics	
Ephemeroptera Taxa	3
Plecoptera Taxa	2
Trichoptera Taxa	4
Long-lived Taxa	9
Odonata Taxa	0
Diptera Taxa	3
COET Taxa	9
% Sensitive	44.7
% Tolerant	23.0
% Clingers	56.2
% Net-spinners	12.4

West Virginia Stream Condition Index (WVSCI)

Gerritson, J., J. Burton, and M.T. Barbour. 2000. A stream condition index for West Virginia wadeable streams. Tetra Tech, Inc. Owing Mills, MD.

Spreadsheet uses updated Best Standard Values [BSV] for each metric per WVSCI Addenda dated March 23, 2010



S-KL29

NORTH

LEGEND

- STUDY AREA (EASEMENT)
- EXISTING SURVEY-LOCATED THALWEG
- **1176.87 +** EXISTING SURVEYED GROUND SHOT ELEVATION

SURVEY NOTES:

1. THIS MAP HAS BEEN ORIENTED TO NAD 1983 UTM ZONE 17N, AND VERTICALLY TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988 (NAVD 88), USING REAL TIME DGPS. FIELD LOCATIONS WERE COMPLETED ON SEPTEMBER 21, 2021.
2. EASEMENT LINES SHOWN ON PLAN VIEW WERE PROVIDED BY MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE.
3. SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG PROFILES COLLECTED IN 2021 HAVE BEEN USED IN COMBINATION WITH SURVEY POINTS COLLECTED PREVIOUSLY IN 2020 IN ORDER TO GENERATE THE PRE-CROSSING SURFACE SHOWN IN PLAN. DUE TO NATURAL EROSIONAL STREAM PROCESSES THAT CAN OCCUR OVER TIME, MINOR ADJUSTMENTS TO THE PROFILE ALIGNMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN REQUIRED IN ORDER TO GENERATE A CLEAN PRE-CROSSING SURFACE.
4. ALL SECTION VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.
5. POST-CROSSING SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN IN RED. DATA PENDING.
6. POST-CROSSING SURVEY POINTS FOR CROSS SECTIONS AND THALWEG ARE PROJECTED ONTO PRE-CROSSING SECTION AND PROFILE VIEWS FOR COMPARISON.

S-KL29 BASELINE THALWEG PROFILE



PROFILE LEGEND	
EXISTING STREAM PROFILE INVERT ALONG THALWEG	

PROFILE
SCALE: H: 1"=10'
V: 1"=5'

AS-BUILT TABLE: S-KL29 CROSS SECTION A

	PRE-CROSSING			AS-BUILT	
PT. LOC.	NORTHING	EASTING	ELEV	VERT. DIFF.	HORZ. DIFF.
TS-L	13686905.7741	1717421.7389'	1686.571'		
BS-L	13686905.1893	1717420.1356'	1685.932'		
THW	13686901.7402	1717417.2846'	1685.78		
BS-R	13686893.1323	1717393.9579'	1686.564'		
TS-R	13686889.4828	1717390.7708'	1688.471'		

TYPICAL 5-POINT CROSS-SECTION
(FACING DOWNSTREAM)



TS: TOP OF SLOPE
BS: BOTTOM OF SLOPE
THW: THALWEG (INVERT)

S-KL29 BASELINE CROSS-SECTION A
PIPELINE



CROSS SECTION LEGEND	
EXISTING GRADE	

CROSS SECTION
SCALE: H: 1"=10'
V: 1"=5'

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS VIEWS SHOWN LEFT TO RIGHT FACING DOWNSTREAM.

PRE-CROSSING PHOTOS

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM
FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS



PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM
DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

POST-CROSSING PHOTOS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING DOWNSTREAM
FROM UPSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PENDING CROSSING

PHOTO TAKEN LOOKING UPSTREAM FROM
DOWNSTREAM IMPACT LIMITS

PRELIMINARY

PRE-CROSSING

CAD File No. –
Drawn JZ
Checked GH
Approved DW
Scale: NOTED
Date: SEPT. 2021
Project No. 112IC07157

TETRA TECH, INC.
661 ANDERSEN DRIVE FOSTER PLAZA 7
PITTSBURGH, PA 15220
TEL: (412) 921-7090 FAX: (412) 921-4040
E-Mail Address: WWW.TETRATECH.COM

TETRA TECH
www.tetratech.com

Client
MOUNTAIN VALLEY PIPELINE, LLC
2200 ENERGY DRIVE, 2ND FLOOR
CANONSBURG, PA 15317

Title
PROFILE AND CROSS-SECTIONS
BASELINE SURVEY
CROSSING S-KL29 – RIGHT FORK
HUNGARD CREEK (MP 170.00)
SUMMERS COUNTY, WV

Drawing No. 1