BASELINE ASSESSMENT – WETLAND ATTRIBUTES

ATTACHMENT K
GILES COUNTY, VIRGINIA

WETLAND SWVM FORMS/WETLAND DELINEATION FORM/PHOTOS

Wetland ID	Wetland SWVM Form Provided	Delineation Data/Photos
W-Z11	✓	✓
W-Z3	N/A – Permanent Conversion	N/A – Permanent Conversion
W-CD12	✓	✓
W-MM10	✓	✓
W-RR1b	✓	✓

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.346591	Lon.	-80.641713
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-Z11, Pipeline ROW				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-Z11	Emergent	0.0262	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0262	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0262
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$1,572.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Giles Sampling Date: 07/17/2015

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-Z11

Investigator(s): SET, SJT, DM Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley bottom Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 8

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRRN Lat: 37.346535 Long: -80.641655 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Nolichucky very stony sandy loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation ✓, Soil ✓, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes _____ No ✓

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ✓ No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks:

Cowardin Code: PEM; HGM: Depressional; WT: Isolate

The wetland was revisited on 10/27/2019. The presence of wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils was unable to be confirmed because the wetland was obstructed by timber matting.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ✓ Surface Water (A1)
- ✓ High Water Table (A2)
- ✓ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 1
Water Table Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 10
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Highly disturbed wetland area that has likely been filled and is regularly grazed. A 6" channel exits wetland (french drain).

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-Z11

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Solanum carolinense	15		FACU
2. Phleum pratense	10		FACU
3. Polygonum sp.	5		ND
4. Poa trivialis	45	✓	FACW
5. Panicum virgatum	30	✓	FAC
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	105 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 52.5	20% of total cover: 21		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

ND- Species not determined

SOIL Sampling Point: W-Z11

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-5	10YR 5/3	99	10YR 5/6	1			SiL	
6-18	10YR 5/2	97	10YR 5/6	3			SiL	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) **(LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ✓ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 136, 122)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** ___

Remarks:

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-Z11

Photograph Direction North

Date: 07/17/2015

Comments: 2015 wetland delineation.

Photograph Direction NE

Date: 10/27/19

Comments: 2019 wetland delineation confirmation.

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.318644	Lon.	-80.441717
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-CD12, Pipeline ROW				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-CD12	Emergent	0.0208	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0208	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0208
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$1,248.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Giles Sampling Date: 04/14/2016

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-CD12

Investigator(s): HS,CW,AC Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Slope Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 3-5

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR N Lat: 37.318644 Long: -80.441717 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: 30D NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** **Yes** ✓ **No** _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks: Cowardin Code: PEM HGM: Riverine Water Type: RPWWD

Abutting S-OO14

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ___ Surface Water (A1)
- ___ High Water Table (A2)
- ___ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ✓ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ✓ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ✓ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes _____	No ✓	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-CD12

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1. Lindera benzoin	10	✓	FAC
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	10 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 5	20% of total cover: 2		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Poa trivialis	80	✓	FACW
2. Galium aparine	5		FACU
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	85 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 42.5	20% of total cover: 17		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 2 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W-CD12

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	%	Redox Features Color (moist)	%	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-10	7.5YR 3/1	70	7.5YR 5/6	30	C	M/PL	SiCL	
10-18	10Y 4/1	80	7.5YR 5/6	20	C	M/PL	SiCL	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) **(LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ✓ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ___ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ✓ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 136, 122)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? Yes ✓ **No** ___

Remarks:

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-CD12 Date 04/14/2016

Photograph Direction SE

Comments:

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.298219	Lon.	-80.480617
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-MM10, Temporary Access Road				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-MM10	Emergent	0.0254	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0254	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0254
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$1,524.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Giles Sampling Date: 08/29/2015

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: WV Sampling Point: W-MM10

Investigator(s): A. Grech, A. Stott, M. Whitten Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Valley bottom Local relief (concave, convex, none): Concave Slope (%): 0-2%

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRRN Lat: 37.298209 Long: -80.480531 Datum: NAD 83

Soil Map Unit Name: Shottower-Laidig (s8276) NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** **Yes** ✓ **No** _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks:

Cowardin Code: PEM; HGM: riverine ; WT: RPWWD

The wetland was revisited on 10/28/2019. Presence of wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils was confirmed using the USACE EMP Regional Supplement delineation methodology.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ✓ Surface Water (A1)
- ✓ High Water Table (A2)
- ✓ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ✓ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ___ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): _____
Water Table Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): _____
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): _____

Wetland Hydrology Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-MM10

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Leersia oryzoides	50	✓	OBL
2. Juncus effusus	10	✓	FACW
3. Persicaria pennsylvanica	10	✓	FACW
4. Mentha spicata	10	✓	FACW
5. Cyperus esculentes	10	✓	FACW
6. Eupatorium perfoliatum	10	✓	FACW
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	100 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 50	20% of total cover: 20		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 6 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation

✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%

___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]

___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)

___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes ✓ No ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: W-MM10

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-3"	10YR 3/2	100					SiL	
3-12"	10YR 4/2	90	7.5YR 4/6	10	C	M/PL	GrSiL	
12+"								Refusal:CF

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) **(LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ✓ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 136, 122)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** ___

Remarks:

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-MM10

Photograph Direction SE

Date: 08/29/2015

Comments: 2015 wetland delineation.

Photograph Direction NW

Date: 10/28/19

Comments: 2019 wetland delineation confirmation.

USACE FILE NO./Project Name:	Mountain Valley Pipeline	COORDINATES:	Lat.	37.29667	Lon.	-80.494042
STREAM/SITE ID AND SITE DESCRIPTION: (% stream slope, watershed size {acreage}, unaltered or impairments)		W-RR1b, Timber Mat Crossing				
FORM OF MITIGATION:						
DATE:	9/28/2021	WEATHER CONDITIONS:		PRECIPITATION PAST 48 HRS:		

PART I - Wetland Indicators

Impact Wetland ID:	Impact Wetland Classification	Impacts (acreage)	Mitigation Wetland Classification
W-RR1b	Emergent	0.0056	Emergent
Total Impact		0.0056	

PART II - Unit Scores

Wetland Classification	Replacement Unit(s)
Total Emergent	0.0056
Total Scrub-Shrub	0
Total Forested	0
Total Open Water	0

PART III - Advanced Mitigation

Sustainable Determination Made on Advanced Mitigation (Y or N)	Y

Estimated ILF Costs
$336.00

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: MVP City/County: Giles Sampling Date: 09/09/2015

Applicant/Owner: MVP State: VA Sampling Point: W-RR01B

Investigator(s): Cook, Foster, Keyser Section, Township, Range: N/A

Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Roadside ditch Local relief (concave, convex, none): Flat Slope (%): 0

Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRRN Lat: 37.296330 Long: -80.494054 Datum: NAD83

Soil Map Unit Name: Chagrin silt loam NWI classification: None

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes ✓ No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes ✓ No _____

Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	**Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?** Yes ✓ No _____
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	

Remarks:

Cowardin Code: PEM; HGM: Riverine; WT: RPWWD; Wetland formed by stream near roadside.
The wetland was revisited on 10/28/2019. Presence of wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soils was confirmed using the USACE EMP Regional Supplement delineation methodology.

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:

Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)

- ✓ Surface Water (A1)
- ✓ High Water Table (A2)
- ✓ Saturation (A3)
- ___ Water Marks (B1)
- ___ Sediment Deposits (B2)
- ___ Drift Deposits (B3)
- ___ Algal Mat or Crust (B4)
- ___ Iron Deposits (B5)
- ___ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)
- ___ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)
- ___ Aquatic Fauna (B13)
- ___ True Aquatic Plants (B14)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)
- ___ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)
- ___ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)
- ___ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)
- ___ Thin Muck Surface (C7)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)

- ___ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
- ___ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
- ___ Drainage Patterns (B10)
- ___ Moss Trim Lines (B16)
- ___ Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
- ___ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
- ___ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
- ___ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
- ___ Geomorphic Position (D2)
- ___ Shallow Aquitard (D3)
- ___ Microtopographic Relief (D4)
- ✓ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)

Field Observations:

Surface Water Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0
Water Table Present?	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 6
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ✓	No _____	Depth (inches): 0

Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes ✓ No _____

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:

Abutting creek/stream. Small unit of wetland, north/west of road mapped as part of this wetland. Wetland is abutting green briar branch creek,which flows into sinking creek. Other wetland names as W-RR01b

Most of the wetland is mapped east of road

VEGETATION (Four Strata) – Use scientific names of plants. Sampling Point: W-RR01B

Tree Stratum (Plot size: 30')	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status
1.			
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
	0 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 0	20% of total cover: 0		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1. Salix nigra	7	✓	FACW
2. Iva frutescend	7	✓	FACW
3.			
4.			
5.			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
	14 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 7	20% of total cover: 2.8		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')			
1. Impatiens capensis	50	✓	FACW
2. Cardemine rotundifolia	20	✓	OBL
3. Lemna minor (aquatic)	5		OBL
4. Trilobium repens	2		FACU
5. Eleocharis obtusa	3		OBL
6. Fragaria virginiana	1		FACU
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
	81 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 40.5	20% of total cover: 16.2		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: 15')			
1. Rosa multiflora	5	✓	FACU
2.			
3.			
4.			
5.			
	5 = Total Cover		
50% of total cover: 2.5	20% of total cover: 1		

Dominance Test worksheet:

Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 4 (A)

Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 5 (B)

Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species	x 1 =
FACW species	x 2 =
FAC species	x 3 =
FACU species	x 4 =
UPL species	x 5 =
Column Totals: (A)	(B)

Prevalence Index = B/A =

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:

- ___ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
- ✓ 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
- ___ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0[1]
- ___ 4 - Morphological Adaptations[1] (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
- ___ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation[1] (Explain)

[1]Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Four Vegetation Strata:

Tree – Woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.

Sapling/Shrub – Woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.

Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.

Woody vine – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** ___

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Mowed, impossible to determine grass species with seed head. Radius modified to match linear size.

SOIL Sampling Point: W-RR01B

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

Depth (inches)	Matrix Color (moist)	Matrix %	Redox Features Color (moist)	Redox Features %	Type[1]	Loc[2]	Texture	Remarks
0-2	10YR 2/1	100					Loam	
2-8	10YR 3/2	100					SaLo	Fine gravel fill
8-16	2.5Y 4/3	100					SaLo	

[1]Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains. [2]Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- ___ Histosol (A1)
- ___ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- ___ Black Histic (A3)
- ___ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- ___ Stratified Layers (A5)
- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(LRR N)**
- ___ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- ___ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- ___ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1) **(LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- ___ Sandy Redox (S5)
- ___ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- ___ Dark Surface (S7)
- ___ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Thin Dark Surface (S9) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ✓ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ___ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ___ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ___ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ___ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) **(LRR N, MLRA 136)**
- ___ Umbric Surface (F13) **(MLRA 136, 122)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 148)**
- ___ Red Parent Material (F21) **(MLRA 127, 147)**

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils[3]:

- ___ 2 cm Muck (A10) **(MLRA 147)**
- ___ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) **(MLRA 147, 148)**
- ___ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) **(MLRA 136, 147)**
- ___ Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
- ___ Other (Explain in Remarks)

[3]Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches): _____

Hydric Soil Present? **Yes** ✓ **No** ___

Remarks:

Disturbed wetland formed by stream running towards sinking creek and greenbriar branch

Wetland Photograph Page

Wetland ID W-RR01B

Photograph Direction NE

Date: 09/09/2015

Comments: 2015 wetland delineation.

Photograph Direction North

Date: 10/28/19

Comments: 2019 wetland delineation confirmation.